```
SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990
    United States Attorney
    JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Chief, Civil Division
    ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909
    Assistant United States Attorney
 4
 5
       450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
       San Francisco, California 94102
       Telephone: (415) 436-7124
 6
       FAX: (415) 436-7169
 7
    Attorneys for Defendants
 8
 9
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
                                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
12
    MAHSHID KIANFARD,
                                                     No. C 07-2904 WDB
13
                        Plaintiff.
14
                 v.
                                                     PARTIES' JOINT REQUEST TO BE
                                                     EXEMPT FROM FORMAL ADR
15 ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General
    of the United States in his Official Capacity;
                                                     PROCESS
16 ROBERT S. MUELLER, Director of FBI in his
    Official Capacity;
    MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary of the
17 l
    Department of Homeland Security, in his Official
18
    EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director of the United
    States Citizenship and Immigration Services, in
    his Official Capacity;
ROSEMARY MELVILLE, District Director of
    the San Francisco Citizenship and Immigration
21
    Services, in her Official Capacity,
22
                        Defendants.
23
24
       Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute
    Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR
    Unit's Internet site <www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute resolution
26
    options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit
27
28
    from any of them.
    Parties' Request for ADR Exemption
```

1

C07-2904 WDB

Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be beneficial because this 1 2 mandamus action is limited to plaintiff's request that this Court compel defendants to adjudicate 3 the application for adjustment of status. Given the substance of the action and the lack of any potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the proceedings and unnecessarily tax 4 5 court resources. Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request the case be removed from the 6 ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be excused from participating in the ADR phone 7 8 conference and any further formal ADR process. Dated: August 21, 2007 Respectfully submitted, 10 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney 11 12 13 Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Defendants 14 15 Dated: August 20, 2007 16 THEODORE C. CHEN 17 Attorney for Plaintiff 18 19 **ORDER** 20 Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 22 Date: WAYNE D. BRAZIL United States Magistrate Judge 24 25 26 27 28

2

Parties' Request for ADR Exemption

C07-2904 WDB